```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                             ---000---
 4
     SEAN O'TOOLE, KELLEY O'TOOLE,
     STEVEN DANIEL LEE, JENNIFER LYNN )
 5
     CURTIS, and JACK FOSTER,
 6
                     Plaintiffs,
 7
                                       )No. CV-11-01502 PJH
                 vs.
 8
     CITY OF ANTIOCH, ANTIOCH POLICE )
     DEPARTMENT, JAMES HYDE, CHIEF OF )
9
     ANTIOCH POLICE DEPARTMENT, NORMAN)
     WIELSCH, JOSHUA VINCELET, JAMES
10
     WISECARVER JR., STEVEN AIELLO,
     AND DOES 1-20, inclusive,
11
                                       )
                     Defendants.
12
13
14
15
16
                   DEPOSITION OF JAMES WISECARVER
17
                        Vallejo, California
18
                           March 13, 2015
19
20
21
22
     ATKINSON-BAKER, INC.
     COURT REPORTERS
23
     (800) 288-3376
     www.depo.com
24
     REPORTER: LAURA AXELSEN, CSR 6173
     FILE NO.: A902F9F
25
```

```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                             ---000---
 4
     SEAN O'TOOLE, KELLEY O'TOOLE,
     STEVEN DANIEL LEE, JENNIFER LYNN )
 5
     CURTIS, and JACK FOSTER,
 6
                     Plaintiffs,
 7
                                        ) No. CV-11-01502 PJH
                 vs.
     CITY OF ANTIOCH, ANTIOCH POLICE
 8
     DEPARTMENT, JAMES HYDE, CHIEF OF )
9
     ANTIOCH POLICE DEPARTMENT, NORMAN)
     WIELSCH, JOSHUA VINCELET, JAMES
10
     WISECARVER JR., STEVEN AIELLO,
     AND DOES 1-20, inclusive,
11
                      Defendants.
12
13
                                --000--
14
             BE IT REMEMBERED THAT, pursuant to Notice and
     on Friday, March 13, 2015 at 10:06 a.m. thereof at
15
16
     521 Georgia Street, Vallejo, California, before me,
17
     LAURA AXELSEN, a Certified Shorthand Reporter,
18
     personally appeared
19
                         JAMES WISECARVER,
20
     called as a witness by the plaintiffs.
21
                             ---000---
22
23
24
25
```

1 Q. Do you rely on the peace officers legal 10:38:32 2 sourcebook to rely upon the Fourth Amendment? 3 A. Yes, sir. 4 Okay. So, uhm, are you -- you've Ο. 5 testified -- how many search warrant affidavits have 10:38:50 you written at -- from 2009 earlier? 6 7 A. I don't know the numbers, but I'd say between 50 to 100. 8 9 Q. And when you write a search warrant, uhm, 10 do you have to be abreast of the law as it pertains 10:39:14 11 to the Fourth Amendment and search and seizure? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. Okay. Uhm, are you familiar with a case 14 called Terry versus Ohio? 15 A. Yes, sir. 10:39:31 16 O. What is that? 17 MR. BLECHMAN: Calls for a legal 18 conclusion. You can respond. Overbroad as well. 19 THE WITNESS: It's a case law decision 20 that I -- I can't spout the details of it as we sit 10:39:43 21 here. 22 MR. PORI: Q. Okay. So have you ever 23 seen Terry versus Ohio mentioned in the peace 24 officers sourcebook? 25 A. I don't recall. 10:40:07

```
1
          Q.
               Have you ever seen -- okay. So how are
                                                           10:40:08
 2
     you taught -- how do you know -- well, let me ask
 3
     you this way. Are there situations where you may
 4
     not approach someone and stop and frisk them if
 5
     you -- if you think that they might have possibly 10:40:30
 6
     some contraband in their possession?
 7
               MR. BLECHMAN: Incomplete hypothetical.
 8
     Vague and ambiguous. And overbroad. You can
9
     respond.
10
               THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm confused by 10:40:43
11
     the question.
12
               MR. PORI: Q. Sure. Are you aware of
13
     any -- any situations where you not may [sic] simply
14
     go up and walk -- walk up to someone and search
15
     them?
                                                           10:40:50
16
               MR. BLECHMAN: Same objections. Go ahead.
17
               THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I just don't
     know what you mean by -- when you say I may -- I
18
19
     "not may."
20
               MR. PORI: Q. Okay. Let me ask you 10:40:58
21
     this way. Tell me the -- the conditions under which
22
     you may approach someone and stop them and search
23
     them for contraband?
24
               MR. BLECHMAN: Same objections. Also
25
     calls for a legal conclusion.
                                                           10:41:11
```

```
1
     Overbroad. Vague as to time. At any point in time 10:48:09
 2
     in his career?
 3
               MR. PORI: Yes.
 4
               MR. BLECHMAN: Is that the question?
 5
               MR. PORI: Q. Yeah, at any time in your 10:48:16
     career, have you reviewed any learning domains
 6
 7
     regarding the Fourth Amendment?
 8
               MR. BLECHMAN: Same objections.
 9
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
10
               MR. PORI: Q. Where?
                                                           10:48:22
11
          Α.
               The police academy.
12
          Q.
               And how long ago was that? Before 2009?
13
          Α.
              It was probably -- the police -- I went to
14
     the police academy in the mid '90s.
15
               Okay. Okay. Do you -- uhm, do you own -- 10:48:45
          Q.
16
     do you personally own your own police officers legal
17
     sourcebook?
18
          A. I do.
19
              Do you refer to it when you are doing your
          Ο.
20
     job as a police officer?
                                                           10:49:04
21
          Α.
              Yes, sir.
22
          Ο.
               Okay. And how often do you refer to it?
23
               I guess it depends on what it is that I'm
          Α.
24
     doing. I -- I don't have an estimated frequency.
25
          Q. Do you -- do you keep a copy with you? 10:49:18
```

1	A. No.	10:49:20
2	Q. So you don't have a copy in your patrol	
3	car?	
4	A. No, sir.	
5	Q. Okay. Uhm, very well. Can you tell me	10:49:24
6	the the chain of command regarding the narcotics	
7	unit of the of the Antioch Police Department in	
8	2009?	
9	A. Sure. We had narcotics detectives, four,	
10	including myself.	10:50:05
11	Q. And who were they?	
12	A. They were, like I said, myself, as well as	
13	Detective Joshua Vincelet, Detective Steven Aiello,	
14	and Detective Michael Mortimer. And we have a	
15	supervisor, a sergeant by the name of Steve Bias.	10:50:27
16	And at the time the lieutenant was Leonard Orman.	
17	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that if there	
18	was a narcotics case that the City of Antioch was	
19	working on, that the narcotics detectives would work	
20	together?	10:50:58
21	A. Yes, sir.	
22	Q. And is it fair to say that there would	
23	there if there if the officers are	
24	executing a search warrant, that they have a	
25	supervising officer present?	10:51:11

1	A. Yes, sir.	10:51:13
2	Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that the	
3	supervising officer is there to ensure that the	
4	Antioch Police Department regulations and the the	
5	law of California and the Constitution are are	10:51:29
6	followed?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. Okay. I want to ask you about an incident	
9	that occurred at the Grow It Yourself Gardens	
10	October 14th, 2009. That was a Wednesday. Do you	10:51:43
11	recall that?	
12	A. I do.	
13	Q. Okay. And who who were the officers	
14	who were present for that for the, uhm, response	
15	to the Grow It Yourself Gardens in Antioch,	10:52:08
16	California?	
17	A. I don't recall all of the officers	
18	involved, however, I can tell you that I was	
19	supervising the search as an acting sergeant.	
20	Detective Josh Vincelet was there. Detective Steve	10:52:24
21	Aiello was there. Detective Desmond Bittner was	
22	there. Detective Ron Krenz was there. Detective	
23	Bergerhouse was there. And I don't recall who else.	
24	Q. Joannides?	
25	A. I believe Detective Joannides was there.	10:53:02

1	Q. Okay.	11:26:33
2	A. I	
3	Q. But okay.	
4	A. I don't even know who who would have	
5	brought him down to the police department.	11:26:36
6	Q. So you usually is don't you usually	
7	call for patrol and have somebody from patrol	
8	transport a prisoner?	
9	A. I don't recall if we had patrol do it or	
10	if we had another detective do it. I don't	11:26:47
11	remember. It was long time ago.	
12	Q. Okay. And you didn't review any documents	
13	or anything in preparation of your testimony today,	
14	did you?	
15	A. I don't believe I actually wrote a a	11:27:01
16	a report for the Grow It Yourself Gardens.	
17	Q. Okay. So you didn't review review any	
18	report. You knew you were going to be deposed today	
19	about the Grow It Yourself Gardens, right?	
20	A. Yes, sir.	11:27:15
21	Q. And you've sat in a couple of these	
22	depositions, right?	
23	A. Yes, I have.	
24	Q. And you know and which depositions did	
25	you sit in?	11:27:20

Vincelet wrote for an additional marijuana grow in 11:28:39 1 2 Tulare Court. 3 Ο. What else did you review? 4 Oh, I -- I reviewed a report that I wrote Α. 5 for the -- for my involvement in a -- investigation 11:29:17 6 of an officer involved shooting located at 7 1017 H Street in Antioch. 8 Q. Anything else? 9 Α. That's all that I can remember right now. 10 Okay. Are you aware of whether or not the 11:29:43 Q. 11 City of Antioch has departmental regulations 12 regarding execution of search and arrest warrants? 13 Α. There -- there is, yes. 14 Ο. And have you reviewed those regulations 15 regarding execution and -- and search of felony 11:30:18 16 arrest warrants? 17 Α. Not recently. 18 Ο. Are you familiar with the material? 19 Α. Vaguely. 20 As a training officer, do you advise 11:30:29 Q. 21 officers to familiarize themselves with departmental 22 regulations of the Antioch Police Department? 23 Α. Yes. 24 I'd like this marked as 71, please? Q. 25 MR. BLECHMAN: I think it's 70. 11:30:44

```
and secured the business.
1
                                                           11:43:30
 2
               Okay. So you didn't have an operational
          Q.
 3
     plan, though, did you?
 4
               It was a verbal op- -- op- -- operational
          Α.
 5
     plan.
                                                           11:43:36
 6
          Q. Okay. It was a verbal operational plan.
 7
     Okay. And you didn't -- because you didn't have
 8
     time to get a written one?
 9
          A. If it would -- if -- if I would have
10
     completed a written operational plan, it would have 11:43:51
11
     slowed down the operation.
12
          Q. Okay. And you've already testified you
13
     don't know whether or not Steven Lee was removing
14
     items from his -- from the store and putting them in
     his car in relation to when the O'Tooles were 11:44:11
15
16
     arrested, right?
17
          Α.
              That's correct.
18
          Ο.
              So you don't know if it was before or
19
     after?
20
               Correct.
          Α.
                                                           11:44:21
21
          Q. And that's not in -- that was -- that
22
     information was not included in the search warrant,
23
     correct?
24
          A. I don't recall.
25
          Q. Okay. Because you didn't review the 11:44:32
```

```
search warrant?
                                                           11:44:35
1
 2
               I don't believe I did.
          Α.
 3
              As operation -- as the -- the supervising
          Ο.
 4
     officer, you never reviewed the search warrant
 5
     before you went in to serve it into the Grow It 11:44:41
 6
     Yourself Gardens; is that correct?
 7
          Α.
              I don't believe I did.
 8
          Q.
               Why didn't you review the search warrant
 9
     before you went into the Grow It Yourself Gardens?
10
               Well, we went to the Grow It Yourself 11:44:53
          Α.
11
     Gardens before the search warrant was actually
12
     written, and we removed all the occupants from the
13
     business. So it hadn't been written yet.
14
          Q. But you were -- I -- when I was -- my
15
     question was, when the search warrant was -- was 11:45:09
16
     executed -- when the search warrant was brought to
17
     the store?
18
          Α.
               Oh.
19
          Ο.
              Right?
20
               I'm sorry. You said when I went to the 11:45:17
          Α.
21
     business. I misunderstood.
22
          Ο.
               Okay. You didn't go into the business
23
     with the -- to -- when the search warrant was
24
     served?
25
               MR. BLECHMAN: Vague and ambiguous. If
                                                           11:45:29
```

```
1
     you understand, you can respond.
                                                            11:45:30
 2
               THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I don't.
 3
               MR. PORI: Okay.
 4
               MR. BLECHMAN: I don't either.
 5
               MR. PORI: Q. Did you go in -- did you, 11:45:34
     uhm, go into the business with any officer with a
 6
 7
     search warrant when the search warrant was being
     served on the business at Grow It Yourself Gardens?
 8
 9
          A.
               I was at the business when the search
10
     warrant was served.
                                                            11:45:44
11
          Ο.
               Okay.
12
          A. I -- I didn't carry it in, if that's what
13
     you mean.
14
          Q. You didn't look at it before you went in?
15
              No, sir.
          Α.
                                                            11:45:51
16
          Q.
               What were -- did you -- do you -- did you
17
     assist in the search?
18
          Α.
              Yes, I did.
19
               And what -- what did you -- what were you
          Ο.
20
     looking for?
                                                            11:45:58
21
          A.
               Items listed in the search warrant, such
22
     as evidence of marijuana cultivation and/or
23
     marijuana sales.
24
               Okay. And how did you know what to look
          Ο.
25
     for if you hadn't reviewed the search warrant
                                                            11:46:11
```

1	affidavit?	11:46:14
2	A. Because we were looking for items that	
3	would be associated with marijuana cultivation and	
4	marijuana sales, and I've done those investigations	
5	before, so I would know what to look for.	11:46:23
6	Q. But you didn't look at what see what	
7	the judge authorized you to look for, did he did	
8	you?	
9	A. I don't recall if I did or not.	
10	Q. So you're when you went in to to	11:46:33
11	search the business, even though there was a warrant	
12	in the hand in hand, you relied on your own	
13	training and experience to conduct a search rather	
14	than the the contents of the search warrant,	
15	correct?	11:46:47
16	MR. BLECHMAN: Misstates the witness's	
17	testimony. You can respond.	
18	THE WITNESS: I don't recall reading the	
19	search warrant. I might have. I don't recall if I	
20	did, but I understand the investigation of marijuana	11:46:55
21	cultivation, and I understand the investigation of a	
22	marijuana for sales investigation. So I	
23	therefore, I would know what to look for.	
24	MR. PORI: Q. Okay. So you didn't know	
25	whether there were any limitations placed upon on	11:47:15

```
you, as a police officer, in that search warrant by 11:47:18
1
 2
     the judge?
 3
          A. I was not aware of any limitations.
 4
          Q. Because you didn't look at the warrant?
          A. I don't recall if I looked at the warrant. 11:47:27
 5
          Q. Is that something you would do?
 6
 7
               MR. BLECHMAN: Vague and ambiguous.
 8
     Overbroad. Incomplete hypothetical.
 9
               MR. PORI: Q. When -- when serving a
10
     search warrant at a location, would you review the 11:47:40
11
     search warrant before entering the business?
12
          Α.
              Sometimes.
13
          Q.
              Okay. Sometimes, sometimes not --
14
          Α.
              Correct.
15
                                                            11:47:49
          Ο.
              -- right?
16
          Α.
              Correct.
17
          Q.
               Okay.
               MR. BLECHMAN: You need a break or how are
18
19
     you doing?
20
               THE WITNESS: I'm good.
                                                           11:47:51
21
               MR. PORI: You need a break?
22
               THE WITNESS: I'm just -- my mouth's
23
     getting dry.
24
               MR. PORI: How about the court reporter?
25
     You need some water, sir?
                                                           11:47:56
```

```
THE WITNESS: I'm doing good. I'm -- got 11:47:56
1
 2
     my water. Thank you.
 3
               MR. PORI: Madam Reporter, how we doing?
 4
               THE REPORTER: Okay.
 5
               MR. PORI: Q. Okay. Can we keep going? 11:48:01
     All right. Did you arrest Daniel Leel?
 6
 7
          Α.
               Yes, I did.
               Okay. Did you write a report about the
 8
9
     arrest of Daniel Leel?
10
          A. Yes, I did.
                                                            11:48:21
               And when you wrote your report about the
11
          Ο.
12
     arrest of Daniel Leel, were you truthful?
13
          Α.
               Yes.
14
          Ο.
               Were you -- was the statements you made in
     your report accurate?
                                                            11:48:29
15
16
          Α.
               To the best of my recollection.
17
          Q.
               Did you complete all the elements of the
18
     offense when you arrested Daniel Leel?
19
          A. I believe so.
20
               Did you include any material misstatements 11:48:41
21
     or inaccurate information in the police report that
22
     you wrote after arresting Daniel Leel?
23
               I wouldn't say that I completed any
          Α.
24
     inaccurate information.
25
               Okay. So essentially you were -- when you 11:48:57
          Q.
```

1	A. In my police report I made the statement	11:50:01
2	that we were serving a search warrant on the	
3	business, which could be construed as the Grow It	
4	Yourself Gardens. We were in the process in	
5	actuality, we were in the process of serving the	11:50:17
6	search warrant. We just had not received the search	
7	warrant itself. The detective was still working	
8	with the judge to get the search warrant signed.	
9	Q. Did you write in your police report on	
10	October 14th, 2009, the Antioch narcotics unit was	11:50:32
11	conducting an investigation into the Grow It	
12	Yourself hydroponic supply store on Sunset Drive in	
13	Antioch?	
14	A. Can I look at my police report?	
15	Q. If it'll refresh your recollection.	11:50:46
16	A. Please. Thank you. Yes, sir, I did.	
17	Q. Okay. Did you write do you have	
18	okay. Do you need that in front of you?	
19	A. Are we going to be	
20	MR. BLECHMAN: If you're going to ask him	11:51:21
21	a bunch of questions, if you wrote this and that in	
22	his report, I mean, do you want to make it an	
23	Exhibit?	
24	MR. PORI: Q. No. Because I don't want	
25	any complaints about Rule 26. So I'm just using it	11:51:29

```
not -- I'm not required under the Rules to produce 11:55:17
1
 2
     it. So that's how it's going.
               MR. BLECHMAN: Well, I disagree a little
 3
 4
     bit.
 5
               MR. PORI: Well, that's okay.
                                                           11:55:25
 6
               MR. BLECHMAN: But we'll let the judge
 7
     sort it out down the road.
 8
               MR. PORI: Of course. That's fine.
9
     That's fine.
10
               THE WITNESS: Okay. I've reviewed it. 11:57:12
11
               MR. PORI: O. Okay. When you wrote
12
     your report, you wrote on October 14th, '09, "The
13
     Antioch Police narcotics unit was conducting an
14
     investigation into the Grow It Yourself hydroponic
     supply store on Sunset Drive in Antioch."
15
                                                           11:57:31
16
               Was that the first sentence of your
17
     report?
18
          Α.
              Yes, sir.
19
              And the second sentence of your report
          Ο.
20
     was, "We had served a search warrant on the business 11:57:37
21
     and were inside the business gathering evidence of
22
     illegal marijuana sales."
23
               Did you write that sentence in your
24
     report?
25
               I did.
                                                           11:57:47
          Α.
```

```
So it wasn't an intentional -- it wasn't
1
          Q.
                                                            12:01:34
 2
     intentionally written to misrep- -- misrepresent
 3
     your presence at the scene of the Grow It Yourself
 4
     Gardens, was it?
5
          Α.
               I did not intend to misrepresent anybody. 12:01:45
     My -- my statement -- what I intended to place in
6
7
     the police report was that we were serving the
8
     search warrant of The Fashion Statement, which led
9
     us to further investigate the marijuana possession.
10
     And we were awaiting the search warrant, but what I 12:02:03
11
     wrote was that we were serving the search warrant.
12
          Q.
               Right. In other words --
13
          Α.
               In actuality, I was still standing by for
14
     the search warrant.
15
          Ο.
               So the way you wrote your report, though,
                                                             12:02:14
16
     it -- it essentially indicates that you were --
17
     the -- the narcotics unit was conducting an
18
     investigation into Grow It Yourself hydroponic
19
     supply store in Antioch. Second sentence, "We had
20
     served a warrant on the business and were inside the 12:02:34
21
     business gathering evidence of illegal marijuana
22
     sales," correct?
23
          Α.
               That's the way I wrote it, yes, sir.
24
               And what you meant to say is that -- what?
          Ο.
25
     That you were gathering evidence before the warrant
                                                            12:02:43
```

```
it as freezing the -- the location?
1
                                                            12:38:52
 2
               Sure. I understand what you mean.
          Α.
 3
               Okay. So, uhm, what -- under what
          Ο.
 4
     circumstances is it appropriate to seize a location
 5
     pending the inch -- issuance of a search warrant? 12:39:05
               MR. BLECHMAN: You said seize. Do you
 6
 7
     mean freeze?
 8
               MR. PORI: Q. Freeze.
 9
          Α.
              So it would depend on the circumstances,
10
     but basically the purpose of freezing a location 12:39:15
     would be to, uhm, protect the integrity of the
11
12
     location itself, protect the integrity of the
13
     evidence on scene, protect the safety of all parties
14
     involved.
15
          Q. What information do you need before you 12:39:39
16
     have the legal authority, as a -- as a police
17
     officer, to freeze a business?
18
               MR. BLECHMAN: Calls for a legal
19
     conclusion. Incomplete hypothetical. Overbroad.
20
     Vague and ambiguous. Go ahead and respond.
                                                           12:39:55
21
               THE WITNESS: So basically you would need
22
     to have reason to believe that a crime is being
23
     committed on a location, and have reason to believe
     that the freezing of that location would be the
24
25
     appropriate action to do so. And that would be in 12:40:11
```

1	you're asking.	12:46:41
2	Q. I'm asking what constitutes freezing a	
3	business?	
4	MR. BLECHMAN: Vague and ambiguous.	
5	And and asked and answered. Go ahead.	12:46:48
6	MR. PORI: Q. What what do you do to	
7	freeze a business? I'll ask that question.	
8	A. Well, like I stated before, you would	
9	remove the employees and the customers from the	
10	business so that you could control and ensure the	12:46:59
11	integrity of the evidence is kept intact, and ensure	
12	the safety of all parties involved are kept intact.	
13	So basically you would start with removing all	
14	people from the business itself.	
15	Q. Okay. And then what happens after you	12:47:20
16	remove everyone from the business?	
17	A. You conduct a safety sweep to make sure	
18	that all parties are accounted for and that we don't	
19	have any individuals who might be hiding or lying in	
20	wait.	12:47:33
21	Q. And what what is the scope of a safety	
22	sweep?	
23	A. You know, like I said, you would be	
24	looking to make sure that nobody is hiding or lying	
25	in wait, there's no obvious traps or hazards that	12:47:45

```
1
     at the time.
                                                           13:11:25
 2
          Q. Do you -- okay. Do you recall being in
 3
     the -- and now did you see Detective Aiello walking
 4
     into the store?
 5
          Α.
               I'm sorry. I was looking at you.
                                                          13:11:33
          Q. Yeah, I don't blame you. Going to try it
 6
 7
     again. Okay. Do you see Detective Aiello there?
 8
          Α.
               I do.
 9
          Q. At some point, does he turn around and
10
     walk into the -- into the store? You see him turn 13:11:42
11
     around and walking into the store?
12
          Α.
              Yes.
13
          Q.
              Okay. Do you know where he went?
14
          A. I do not.
              Is that -- is that part of freezing the 13:11:51
15
          Ο.
16
     store? Walking into the store after it's been
17
     cleared for suspects?
18
          Α.
               It may be.
19
               MR. BLECHMAN: Incomplete hypothetical.
20
     Argumentative. Lacks foundation. You can respond. 13:12:00
21
               THE WITNESS: It may be.
22
               MR. PORI: Q. Based on what?
23
               I don't know what it is that he was doing.
          Α.
24
               What would he be doing while the store is
          Ο.
25
     being -- after the store had been cleared of
                                                           13:12:14
```

```
1
     suspects? What would he be doing inside the store? 13:12:17
 2
               MR. BLECHMAN: Calls for speculation. You
 3
     can respond.
 4
               THE WITNESS: I don't recall what he was
 5
     doing.
                                                           13:12:22
 6
               MR. PORI: Q. What should he have been
 7
     doing after the store had been frozen?
 8
               MR. BLECHMAN: Overbroad. Lacks
9
     foundation. You can respond.
10
               THE WITNESS: I don't know.
                                                           13:12:29
11
               MR. PORI: O. Should he have -- should
12
     he be walking inside the store after it's frozen?
13
          Α.
              If he had reason to, then sure.
14
          Ο.
              What reason would he -- what reason -- do
     you know of any lawful reason an officer has to go 13:12:40
15
16
     into a store after it's been frozen?
17
               MR. BLECHMAN: Hold on. Calls for a legal
18
     conclusion. Lacks foundation. Calls for
     speculation. Incomplete hypothetical.
19
20
               THE WITNESS: There may be. I don't know 13:12:54
21
     if he had a valid reason because I don't know what
22
     he was doing at the time.
23
               MR. PORI: Q. Okay. You didn't ask
24
     him?
25
          A. I may have, but it was a long time ago and 13:13:02
```

1	A. Video cameras?	13:26:55
2	Q. Yeah, video cameras.	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. And you were concerned that the video	
5	cameras were were being used to surveil your	13:26:59
6	activities inside the store?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. Okay. And so you knew where they were,	
9	and you were concerned about their presence in the	
10	store surveilling your activities while you were	13:27:16
11	inside the store, right?	
12	MR. BLECHMAN: It's compound. Answer the	
13	second question.	
14	THE WITNESS: All right.	
15	MR. PORI: Q. No okay. Well, it's	13:27:23
16	okay. You saw cameras and and you were concerned	
17	about the fact that they were depicting what you	
18	were doing in the store; isn't that right?	
19	A. I saw cameras and I was concerned that	
20	people were monitoring my actions, and I was	13:27:37
21	concerned for mine and the other safety safety of	
22	the other officers that were present.	
23	Q. Okay. And so what did you do to disable	
24	those cameras to prevent anybody from looking at	
25	you?	13:27:51

```
1
               MR. BLECHMAN: Lacks foundation. You
                                                           13:27:53
 2
     can -- assumes facts. You can respond.
 3
               THE WITNESS: I did not disable any
 4
     cameras.
 5
               MR. PORI: Q. You didn't? Why did you 13:27:58
 6
     not disable any cameras?
 7
               Well, to be honest with you, I don't know
 8
     how to disable cameras.
 9
          Q. Well, did -- did you cover them -- try to
10
     cover them up or --
                                                           13:28:09
11
               No, not to -- not that I can recall.
          Α.
12
          Q.
              So you weren't concerned enough about them
13
     that you were going to move them in any way or try
14
     to -- to cover them to prevent people from looking
     at what you were doing while you were executing a 13:28:22
15
16
     search warrant in The Fashion Statement, right?
17
          Α.
               I -- there were several cameras that were
18
     present, and I did move one camera.
19
          Q. You moved only one?
20
          Α.
               Yes.
                                                           13:28:35
21
              But the other ones inside the store you
          Ο.
22
     did not move?
23
               No. That is correct.
          Α.
24
              And why not?
          Q.
25
          A. Because they weren't within my reach and 13:28:39
```

```
1
     that you turned the camera was for your own safety? 14:39:25
 2
          Α.
               Yes.
 3
               At that point, did you -- why -- at that
          Ο.
 4
     point, why did you not go into the Grow It Yourself
5
     Gardens and secure it as you did sometime later? 14:39:35
6
               We had to finish securing the first
          Α.
7
     location that we were in. And then the
     information -- the -- The Fashion Statement
8
9
     location. And then at the time, we -- I -- I don't
10
     know when it was that Detective Vincelet decided 14:39:51
11
     that he wanted to get into the Grow It Yourself
12
     store.
13
          Q.
              Well, my -- my question is, is if you were
14
     concerned for your safety and that -- and that --
15
     that you might be ambushed -- and I -- by ambushed, 14:40:04
16
     I presume you mean someone might come after you with
17
     a firearm or some kind of weapon?
               Could be anything. If somebody's remotely
18
          Α.
19
     watching me, watching me from another location, I
20
     want to make sure that they're not doing so with ill 14:40:17
21
     intentions.
22
          Ο.
               And so -- understood. And so at that --
23
     at the point that you knew that you were being
24
     monitored, why did you not, uhm, immediately go into
25
     the store and secure your safety by clearing
                                                           14:40:28
```

1	everyone out and clearing the store?	14:40:31
2	A. At that point, I didn't think that I had	
3	reason to.	
4	Q. Well, then, why did you turn the camera?	
5	A. Because I did not want to be ambushed by	14:40:39
6	anybody who might be remotely monitoring me.	
7	Q. Once you learned that you were being	
8	remotely monitored, what did you do next?	
9	A. I went through we made sure that we	
10	continued our route through The Fashion Statement,	14:40:52
11	including the warehouse that was just displayed in	
12	the video, and we rendered it safe by making sure	
13	that all individuals were accounted for.	
14	Q. By the time you went into the warehouse,	
15	hadn't everybody else been by the time you	14:41:09
16	entered the warehouse, hadn't the door been locked	
17	and the employees inside the store already gone?	
18	MR. BLECHMAN: In The Fashion Statement?	
19	MR. PORI: Q. In The Fashion Statement?	
20	A. Yes.	14:41:24
21	Q. Okay. So by the time you went into the	
22	warehouse of The Fashion Statement, you had walked	
23	through the the sales area, correct?	
24	A. Correct.	
25	Q. And there was no one there, correct?	14:41:33

1	into The Fashion Statement if you were concerned	14:42:10
2	that you were going to be ambushed? Why did you not	
3	take steps to protect yourself and your fellow	
4	officers?	
5	A. I was in The Fashion Statement.	14:42:18
6	Q. When aft after you were in The	
7	Fashion Statement and you turned the camera for your	
8	own safety, why did you not go then go into the	
9	Grow It Yourself Gardens and to secure the safety	
10	of yourself and your other officers?	14:42:31
11	A. I didn't know that	
12	MR. BLECHMAN: It's asked and answered.	
13	But go ahead and answer it again.	
14	THE WITNESS: I didn't know that I had	
15	reason to at that time.	14:42:36
16	MR. PORI: Q. So you were concerned	
17	that you would be ambushed, but you didn't know who	
18	would ambush you; is that the idea?	
19	A. I can take steps to protect my safety	
20	without having all the answers to all my questions.	14:42:48
21	Q. Okay. So you're saying that the reason	
22	you turned the camera was for officer safety?	
23	A. Yes, sir.	
24	Q. Okay. Uhm, and you realized that, uhm,	
25	cameras can be useful in preserving evidence,	14:43:04

```
you can't tell me how long these individuals were 15:34:21
1
 2
     detained, right?
 3
          A. I don't recall.
 4
          Q. As a supervising officer, though, you --
     you -- you believe this -- this behavior is
 5
                                                            15:34:27
     consistent with the lawful seizure of -- I mean
 6
 7
     freezing of a business, correct?
          Α.
 8
              I do.
 9
          Q. And you believe this is a proper,
10
     lawful -- and based upon the right to freeze the 15:34:43
11
     business, you believe, as a supervising officer,
12
     that these individuals are being lawfully asked to
13
     sit down on these buckets, correct?
14
               MR. BLECHMAN: Calls for a legal
     conclusion. Go ahead and respond.
15
                                                            15:34:55
16
               THE WITNESS: It appears so.
17
               MR. PORI: Q.
                                Okay. As a supervising
18
     officer, you believe these individuals can be
19
     detained because there might be a possible marijuana
20
     grow inside the store; isn't that right?
                                                            15:35:07
21
          Α.
               They're going to be detained until you can
22
     determine their involvement in the crime that we're
23
     investigating.
24
               Okay. Which is what?
          Ο.
25
               The marijuana cultivation and illegal 15:35:21
          Α.
```

Did you have any further involvement with 15:39:20 1 Q. 2 the case, other than on this day, the incident? 3 Α. On this particular search warrant? 4 Yes. October 14th, 2009. Q. 5 Α. Not that I can recall. 15:39:32 Q. You see the individual wearing the white 6 7 shirt holding something? Who is that? Is that Stephanie Chalk? 8 9 A. It looks like it. 10 Okay. Do you see Stephanie Chalk walking 15:40:22 Q. 11 in the building? 12 Α. I did. 13 Q. Okay. And what does Stephanie Chalk do? 14 Α. She's a community service officer. 15 What's a community service officer do? Ο. 15:40:34 16 Α. She was assigned to the investigations 17 bureau for -- as a photographer in evidence 18 collection. 19 Okay. So she -- she is in the store while Ο. 20 these individuals are being detained so that she can 15:40:47 21 do what? 22 Α. I don't know. 23 MR. BLECHMAN: Calls for speculation. 24 MR. PORI: O. Who's that? Who's 25 present at the door? Is that Detective Joannides? 15:41:05

CERTIFICATE

I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, State of California, hereby certify that the witness in the foregoing deposition was by me first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of the said witness was reported by me, a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete, and true record of said testimony; and that the witness was given an opportunity to read it and, if necessary, correct said deposition and to subscribe the same; that prior to the completion of the foregoing deposition, review of the transcript was requested.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

Executed this 24th Hay of March, 2015

LAURA AXELSEN, C.S.R. 617

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18.

19

20

21

22

23

24